## Piperazine Advanced Stripper (PZAS™) Front End Engineering Design (FEED) DE-FE0031844

Gary T. Rochelle, Principal Investigator Department of Chemical Engineering, The University of Texas at Austin Krista Hill, NETL Project Manager

2022 Carbon Management Research Project Review Meeting Wed, 11:25 August 17, 2022

#### **PZAS FEED outline**

- Project structure and Objectives
- PZAS: a superior 2G process developed with DOE support
- Mustang Station: low energy cost, abundant space, pipeline for EOR
- Design Decisions
- Project costs: capital, annual, business case
- Design Basis and Opportunities to improve and add value
- Conclusions



太 Trimeric Corp

# The Objective: Accurate installed cost of PZAS<sup>™</sup> on NGCC at GSEC Mustang Station

#### **Complementary Benefits:**

- Develop commercial project at Mustang Station
- Qualify PZAS for use on NGCC cogen
- Provide commercial cost detail
  - Optimize PZAS & other 2G capture processes
  - Guide R&D of capture technology

AECOM

太 Trimeric Corp

## **Program Overview**

- Funding (\$5.3 MM)
  - $\circ$  4.2 MM DOE
  - $\circ$  1.1 MM cost sharing ExxonMobil, Total, Chevron
  - o [0.3 MM from Honeywell UOP outside DOE]
- Performance Period: 10/2019 6/2022
- Project Participants
  - Golden Spread Electric Cooperative (GSEC) Host
  - O University of Texas at Austin (UT) Modeling/ Technology

AECOM

**PZAS<sup>™</sup> CO**<sub>2</sub> Capture

太 Trimeric Corp

- Trimeric Process Engineering
- $\circ$  AECOM EPC

## • Final Report Submitted on July 29, 2022

#### **PZAS Process**



#### **PZAS development**

#### comprehensive research & pilot plant demonstrations

- (2000-22) Research by 49 graduate students
  - Fundamental basis & Models
- (2006-09) UT Pilot of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>/Piperazine (PZ), DE-FC26-02NT41440
- PZAS Pilot at 12% CO<sub>2</sub> for coal, DE- FE0005654
  - (2010-18) UT Austin
  - (2018) NCCC
- PZAS Pilot w 4% CO<sub>2</sub> For NGCC (CCP4)
  - (2016-18) UT Austin
  - (2019) NCCC

AECOM

## PZAS pilot at NCCC with CCP4 funding

- Heat duty 2.4 GJ/t
- Stripping at 302 F/90 psia with little degradation
- 90-95% CO<sub>2</sub> removal with 2 x 20 ft packing
- Pump-around intercooling of hot inlet gas
- Low PZ oxidation, <0.3 kg/t CO<sub>2</sub>
- 304 SS up to 150°C
- PZ emissions < 1 ppm



AECOM

#### Piperazine Advanced Stripper (PZAS) FEED Study

Host Site - Mustang Station Golden Spread Electric Cooperative Denver City, TX Southwest Power Pool Greatest wind penetration of U.S. IPO's 460 MW NGCC 2 GT/1 ST

istand S

#### Changing perspective on the Mustang site

	Proposal, May 2019	FEED Report, July 2022
Space	Excellent	Spread out, but still good
CO <sub>2</sub> Disposal	Existing pipeline with EOR	Existing pipeline to storage site
Cooling	Available cooling tower & water	No water; air cooling required
Steam supply	Extract from existing turbine	Gas-fired boiler
Fuel cost	\$2/MMBtu w pipeline access	\$8/MMBtu
CO <sub>2</sub> design rate	126 t/hr	190 t/hr
Electricity cost	Wholesale LMP = \$20/MWh	Retail? = \$100/MWh
Load Factor	>52%, higher with good CO <sub>2</sub> value and low fuel cost	<52%, Lower with higher fuel cost & more renewables
Financing	<5% with Non-profit	10% IRR with private capital
Capital cost	\$270 million	\$725 million

## General Arrangement with two trains

**beenin** 

COLLEGE MANAGEMENT





- Each train treats all flue gas from 1 GT and one new gas boiler
  - Turndown to match Mustang Station operation
  - Sequenced, isolated maintenance
  - Off-site fabrication of some large equipment (strippers)
  - Sequenced construction
  - Reasonable absorber size

#### **Other Design Decisions**

- -90% CO<sub>2</sub> removal at median ambient T
- -Air cooling
  - Absorber intercooling
  - Water wash with 24-hour water balance in summer
- -One package boiler for each train to provide steam for stripping
  - Boiler flue gas treated in absorber
- -Moderate energy requirement by design (3.0 GJ/t CO<sub>2</sub>)
  - 5 plate-and-frame exchangers per train
  - (2.5 GJ/t could be obtained with 10 exchangers/train)

-One 3-stage reciprocating compressor for each train

• Air intercooling

## **Project Costs and Business Case**



Trimeric Corp

## **Total Overnight Cost**

	Cost, \$Million
Total Direct Cost	384
Total Indirect Cost	93
Engineering	37
Insurance, Taxes, Bonds & Permits	19
Contingency	105
Contractor Overhead & Profit	60
Project Total Cost	698
Owner's Cost	27
Total Overnight Cost	725

PZAS<sup>™</sup> CO₂ Capture

## **Direct costs (total DC = \$384 million)**

	Cost, \$M	% of total	Potential Savings
Air Cooling Systems	90.0	23	Use water
Absorber	37.0	10	Use Carbon Steel
CO <sub>2</sub> Compression	24.2	6	
Ductwork, Dampers, Fans	21.6	5.6	Shorten ductwork
Solvent Reclaiming	19.6	5.1	Revisit
Stripper, CO <sub>2</sub> Conditioning	17.4	4.5	
Steam Generation	14.1	3.7	Use steam extraction
Solvent Heat Exchangers	9.5	2.5	Use more exchangers
Solvent Storage	6.5	1.7	

**PZAS<sup>™</sup> CO₂ Capture** 

AECOM

Arimeric Corp

Annual Variable Operating Costs @ 52% LF	\$21.5 MM
Natural Gas (417 MMBtu/hr) @\$3/MMBtu	9.5
[Use more exchangers to reduce heat duty] [Extract Steam from existing turbine]	
CO <sub>2</sub> Tariff for transport and storage (\$5/t)	4.3
Electricity (33 MW) @\$25/MWh	3.8
7 % decrease in net power from NGCC [Replace Air Cooling with Cooling Water]	
Piperazine solvent	2.0
Other (Caustic, Water, TEG, N2, waste)	1.9

AECOM

🍌 Trimeric Corp

Annual Fixed Operating Costs	\$32.6 MM
Property Taxes and Insurance (Year 1) @ 2.5%	18.2
[Negotiate for local tax break]	
Maintenance Labor & Material	9.9
Operating Labor	3.3
Admin & Support Labor	1.2

AECOM

Jacobia Trimeric Corp

## Net Cash Flow at base case conditions 52% Load Factor, \$3/MMBtu, \$25/MWh

	\$million
Income from 45Q @ \$85/t	64
Fixed annual costs	-32.6
Variable annual costs	-21.5
Net Cash Flow	+9.9

AECOM

🍾 Trimeric Corp

#### Economic Performance of the Mustang Project



## **Takeaways**

- -Completed FEED
  - Defines a technically feasible design for Mustang
  - Capital cost of \$725 million
  - Cost of capture for 10% IRR is \$105/t CO<sub>2</sub> (w \$3/MMBtu, 85% LF)
- -Major opportunities for enhanced performance and reduced cost
  - Steam extraction from the existing steam turbine
  - Additional absorber packing to get > 97%  $CO_2$  removal, approaching C neutral
  - Additional exchanger area to reduce natural gas consumption
- -Detailed, public FEED provides basis for an NGCC or Cogen demo
  - Ideal site: cooling water, steam extraction, low renewables, high load factor

#### **Future work**

- -Further development at Mustang is not expected
- -Honeywell UOP design/marketing to all applications & sites with proprietary knowhow
- -Honeywell actively developing opportunities for a potential FOA for demonstration
- -UT Modeling to make public use of FEED results funded by CCSI2, TxCMP, et al.
  - Optimize operations at GSEC with the existing design
  - Optimize design at GSEC with estimates for improvements
  - Develop & optimize design for NGCC at other sites, including stakeholder sites

     NGCC at ideal conditions cooling water, steam extraction, low renewables, high load factor
     CoGen
  - Develop and optimize designs of PZAS for other applications

#### **Acknowledgements**

This work was performed with funding from the U.S. Department of Energy under Co-operative Agreement DE-FE0031844. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

Additional funding was received as cost-sharing from ExxonMobil, Chevron, and TotalEnergies.

The authors are grateful to Golden Spread Electric Cooperative for providing the site for this study. Other Contributors

UT Austin: Fred Closmann, Miguel Abreu, Benjamin Drewry, Tianyu Gao, Jorge Martorell, Athreya Suresh Babu

AECOM: William A. (Bill) Steen, Karen Farmer, Scott Bryan, Matt Bernau

TRIMERIC Corp Andrew Sexton, Katherine Dombrowski, Duane Myers, Michael Marsh, Brad Piggott, Rosalind Jones

Kronos Management, LLC: Jeff Lee

#### High Removal with PZAS at Mustang



**PZAS<sup>™</sup> CO₂** Capture

AECOM

(**\** 

🍌 Trimeric Corp

#### **Chronology of PZAS FEED**

- -August 19, 2019
- -January 22, 2020
- -November 3
- -March 14, 2021
- -October 28
- -November 18
- -March 31, 2022

- Proposal accepted for contract negotiation
- Meeting with GSEC in Amarillo
- Process Design Package
- Draft Equipment List
- Model Review
- Completion of Capital Cost Estimate
- Draft FEED Report

## **Organizational Chart**



#### **Opportunities and Constraints at Mustang Station**

- Ideal ample space at the site
- **Competitively priced natural gas**
- CO<sub>2</sub> Pipeline & EOR + potential storage
- Summer Ambient T (cool nights, hot days)
- Cooling water not available for capture system
- Competitive power grid (SPP) with renewables Greatest wind penetration of U.S. IPO's

26

AECOM